1791A CE-02-25 2812 V. Warren E-949

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
EUGENE DISTRICT OFFICE

DECISION RECORD CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW

<u>Proposed Action</u>. The proposed action is issuing an "O&C Logging Road Right-of-Way Permit" to Virginia M. Warren for a term of 1 year pursuant to the authority of Title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761), subject to the terms and conditions in 43 CFR 2812 and those additional stipulations in the attached Exhibit A. The permit would authorize the hauling of approximately 200 MBF of timber over 1.50 miles of BLM-controlled road 19-1-33.1, and approximately 50 MBF of timber over 0.10 miles of BLM road 20-1-10.2, and across the public lands and BLM easements specified on page one of the permit. No new construction is involved. Road maintenance of roads 19-1-33.1, 20-1-10.2, will be performed by BLM.

<u>Decision</u>: It is my decision to approve the issuance of an "O&C Logging Road Right-of-Way Permit" to Virginia M. Warren for a term of 1 year pursuant to the authority of Title V of the Federal Land Policy and management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761), subject to the terms and conditions in 43 CFR 2812 and those additional stipulations in the attached Exhibit A. The permit shall authorize the use of roads 19-1-33.1, and 20-1-10.2 across the public lands and interests in land described in the permit.

Rationale: The proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion as described in the Departmental Manual 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4E(16), and does not meet any of the exception criteria. The proposed action is in conformance with the Record of Decision for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl, April 1994 (ROD), and the Eugene District Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan, June 1995 (Eugene District ROD/RMP) as amended by the Record of Decision for Amendments to the Survey and Manage, Protection Buffer, and other Mitigation Measures Standards and Guidelines, USDA Forest Service and USDI Bureau of Land Management January 2001.

| Prepared | by: Jerry A. Chrostek | Date: 6/24/02 |
|----------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | | |
| Approved | by: Emily Rice | Date: 7/11/02 |
| | Area Manager | |

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT EUGENE DISTRICT

1791A CE-<u>02</u> -<u>25</u>

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW

Exception Criteria Review Checklist

| Propos | ed Action: See preceding page | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| is an ex the pro mitigati | the proposed action against each of the ten criteria listed laception from categorical exclusion and MUST be analyzed posed action may not meet any of the criteria. If the criteria on measures (such as contract stipulations or terms and coed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion should be ide | I in an EA or EIS. To qualify as a Categorical Exclusion on does not apply, indicate "Not Applicable." Any onditions on permits) necessary to ensure that the |
| | Exception Criteria | <u>Comments</u> |
| 1. | Have significant adverse effects on public health or safety | No |
| 2. | Have adverse effects on unique resources (i.e., parks, recreation, refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or scenic rivers, wetlands, floodplains, etc.) | No |
| 3. | Have highly controversial environmental effects | No |
| 4. | Have highly uncertain environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks | No |
| 5. | Establish a precedent that could result in significant impacts | No |
| 6. | Be directly related to other actions having cumulatively significant effects | No |
| 7. | Have adverse effects on cultural or historical resources | No |
| 8. | Have adverse effects on survey and manage species or species listed or proposed as threatened or endangered or have adverse effect on designated critical habitat for these species. | No * |
| 9. | Require compliance with E.O. 11988 (floodplain management), E.O. 11990 (protection of wetlands), or the Fish & Wildlife Coordination Act | No |
| 10. | Threaten to violate Federal, State, Local or Tribal law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment | No |
| Mitiga | ation measures needed to qualify as CE: | |
| * Sea | asonal restriction Mar. 1st through July 15 th , (or later) for sp | otted owls. |
| | | |
| | | |
| Review | | Date: 6/25/02 |
| Above | mitigation measures have been adopted and will be implen | nented. |

Area Manager Emily Rice

Date: 7/11/02